



## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: **COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS** Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/926,872 09/10/97 SULLIVAN SLD2121 **EXAMINER** QM12/0910 DIANE F. COVELLO, ESQ. MARLO, G DIVISION PATENT AND TRADEMARK COUNSEL **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SPALDING SPORTS WORLDWIDE 425 MEADOW ST P.O. BOX 901 3711 CHICOPEE MA 01021-0901

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

09/10/99

·	Application No.		Applicant(s)	,	1
Office Action Summary	08/926	8 14	Sulliv	lan et	_a/
omoo monon oanniai y	Examiner	l W	DO IA	Group Art Unit	t
	George	J- 111	ARLO	37//	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover	sheet be	neath the co	orrespondence	address
Period for Reply		_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	<u>ය –</u>	MONTH(S	FROM THE M	AILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st</li> </ul>	reply within the statute ult, expire SIX (6) MON	ory minimu ITHS from	m of thirty (30) the mailing date	days will be considered this communication of this communication of the consideration of the	dered timely.
Status	. }				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	July 16,	1999	7		
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					•
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>				the merits is c	losed in
Disposition of Claims					
(Claim(s) 1 + 3 - 8			is/are p	ending in the a	pplication.
Of the above claim(s)			is/are v	vithdrawn from	consideration.
□ Claim(s)			is/are a	allowed.	
Claim(s) 1 7 3 -8			is/are r	ejected.	
Claim(s)					
<b>"</b> '			is/are o	bjected to.	on or election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			is/are o	objected to. Dject to restriction	on or election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			is/are c	objected to. Dject to restriction	on or election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	ing Review, PTO-9	48.	is/are c	objected to. Dject to restriction ment.	on or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-9 is □ app	48. roved □	is/are o	objected to. Dject to restriction ment.	on or election
<ul> <li>□ Claim(s)</li> <li>□ Claim(s)</li> <li>Application Papers</li> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	ing Review, PTO-9 is □ app	48. roved □	is/are o	objected to. Dject to restriction ment.	on or election ·
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objective.	ing Review, PTO-9 is □ app ected to by the Exa	48. roved □	is/are o	objected to. Dject to restriction ment.	on or election ·
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-9 is □ app ected to by the Exa	48. roved □	is/are o	objected to. Dject to restriction ment.	on or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	ing Review, PTO-9 is appected to by the Exa under 35 U.S.C. §	48. roved [ miner.  11 9(a)-(a)	are sub require disapproved	objected to. Dject to restriction ment.	on or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	ing Review, PTO-9 is □ app ected to by the Exa under 35 U.S.C. § of the priority docum	48. proved [miner.  11 9(a)-(anents have	is/are of are subtrequired disapproved dis	objected to. Dject to restriction ment.	on or election ·
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of preceived. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numerical Series Code/Serial Series Code/Series Code/Serial Series Code/Series	ing Review, PTO-9 is  □ app ected to by the Exa under 35 U.S.C. § of the priority docum	48.  proved [ miner.  11 9(a)-(c) nents have	is/are of are subtrequired disapproved dis	objected to.  Dject to restriction ment.  d.	on or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the In*Certified copies not received:	ing Review, PTO-9 is  □ app ected to by the Exa under 35 U.S.C. § of the priority docum	48.  proved [ miner.  11 9(a)-(c) nents have	is/are of are subtrequired disapproved dis	objected to.  Dject to restriction ment.  d.	on or election
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ■ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In	ing Review, PTO-9 is appected to by the Exa under 35 U.S.C. § of the priority docum	48. roved [ miner.  11 9(a)-(connents have	are sub require disapproved disapproved disapproved	objected to.  Dject to restriction ment.  d.	on or election
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	ing Review, PTO-9 is appected to by the Exa under 35 U.S.C. § of the priority docum	48.  proved [miner.]  11 9(a)-(connents have proved [miner.]	is/are of are subtrequired disapproved dis	objected to. Dject to restriction ment.  d.	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.\_\_\_\_\_

In the amendment filed July 16, 1999, the "substitute drawings" referred to on page 4, line 4, cannot be found in the file.

The information disclosure statement filed April 12, 1999, a PTO-1449 includes 8 sheets and they list numerous references, none of which have been submitted for the record. When copies of said references are submitted, the PTO-1449 will be completed, by the examiner, to avoid additional work for applicant.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Since the instant application 08/926,872 filed September 10,1997, depends from application #08/631,613, filed April 10,1996, and whereas an identical application, 08/926,194, does not depend on application #08/631,613, and another identical application 08/926,246, filed Sept. 5, 1997 also does not depend on application #08/631,613, the subject matter of the invention is obscured, and one can only speculate regrading what is the effective filing date of each application and what is included and excluded by the claims in 08/926,872, 08/926,194 and 08/926,194, which in substance are drawn to claimed subject matter that is identical in substance, and in terms also.

Application/Control Number: 08/926,872 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 1 and 3-8 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by copending Application No.08/926,194 and 08/926,246 which has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the copending application, it would constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), if patented. This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is based upon a presumption of future patenting of the copending application. The examiner fails to see any difference I substance or terms between said claims and those in said applications

This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the copending application was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

This rejection may <u>not</u> be overcome by the filing of a terminal disclaimer. See *In re Bartfeld*, 925 F.2d 1450, 17 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Claims 1 and 3-8 of this application conflict with claims 1-8 of Application No. 08/926,194 and 08/926,246. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting

Application/Control Number: 08/926,872 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Proudit (5,314,187).

As understood, inherent features of the reference golf balls are claimed.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Proudfit.

Any possible distinctions over Proudfits golf balls are deemed obvious arbitrary variants thereof simply to provide additional comparative examples.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George J. Marlo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2094. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs from 7:30 am to 7:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeanette E. Chapman, can be reached on (703) 308-1310. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3579.

Application/Control Number: 08/926,872

Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Marlo/tnt

September 4, 1999

GEORGÉ J. MARLO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT

271